Appl. No. 10/666,665 Amdt. dated Feb. 16, 2005 Reply to Office action of Jan. 21, 2005

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

## **Restriction/Election**

The Office considered the claims of the present application to be directed to patentably distinct apparatus and method claims. Specifically, the Examiner alleged that claims 1-16 form Group I drawn to a first invention and that claims 17-20 form Group II drawn to a second invention. The applicant disagrees. Nevertheless, the applicant elects without traverse Group I with claims 1-16 reading thereon. Claims 17-20 are withdrawn.

## REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application. The applicant requests allowance of all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

**RUTAN & TUCKER** 

Martin Fessenmaier, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 46,697

Tel.: (714) 641-5100